Google Inc. et al v. Egger et al Doc. 543

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS LITIGATION, MDL No. 1791	)	MDL Docket No. 06-1791 VRW
	)	Relates to Case Nos.
This Document Relates To:	)	
Robert Clayton, et al. v. AT&T Communications of the Southwest, Inc., et al., (W.D.Mo.)	)	07-cv-1187-VRW
USA v. Clayton, et al., (E.D.Mo.)	)	07-cv-1242-VRW
USA v. Kurt Adams, et al., (D. Me.)	)	07-cv-1323-VRW
USA v. Zulima V. Farber, et al., (D.N.J.)	)	07-cv-1324-VRW
USA v. Anthony J. Palermino, et al., (D.Ct.)	)	07-cv-1326-VRW
USA v. James Volz, et al., (D.Vt.)	)	07-cv-1396-VRW

[PROPOSED] ORDER

The Court takes up Defendants' *Ex Parte Application.* The hearing currently scheduled for April 1, 2009 is continued until such time as the Court has issued its ruling on the motion to dismiss or motion for summary judgment currently pending in the private plaintiff telecommunications cases. No briefing shall be required on the United States' Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. 536) until such time as the Court has ruled on the dispositive motion to dismiss or motion for summary judgment currently pending in the private plaintiff telecommunications cases. (Doc. 469). Movants shall have 30 days from the date of the Court's ruling on the pending dispositive motion to oppose the United States' Motion for Summary Judgment. The United States shall have 30 days from the date of Movants' opposition to submit a reply.

Dockets.Justia.com

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED:_______________ __________________________

VAUGHN R. WALKER
United States District Chief Judge